

CHLORIDE RESISTANCE PENETRATION
OF RUBBERIZED-ULTRA-HIGH
PERFORMANCE CONCRETE (UHPC)

MUHAMMAD HAFIZ BIN ISHAK

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : MOHD FAIZAL BIN MD JAAFAR

Position : LECTURER

Date : 25 JUNE 2018



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : MUHAMMAD HAFIZ BIN ISHAK

ID Number : AA14159

Date : 25 JUNE 2018

CHLORIDE RESISTANCE PENETRATION OF RUBBERIZED-ULTRA-HIGH
PERFORMANCE CONCRETE (UHPC)

MUHAMMAD HAFIZ BIN ISHAK

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, thanks to god his willingness, I have accomplished this final year project as a requirement to graduate and acquire a Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering from University Malaysia Pahang (UMP). There are number of people without whom this achievement might not have done, and to whom I greatly indebted.

I wish to express my gratitude to my supervisor, En. Mohd Faizal Bin Md. Jaafar, for his hard work and guidance through this entire experimental and thesis process and for believing in my abilities, and for giving me the foundation to be in this area of knowledge, with his support and patience as I went through my journey in University Malaysia Pahang.

I wish to express my gratitude to the staff at the several laboratories of UMP for their cooperation and assistance received from them. A word of gratitude also to my friends Muhamad Hariz Bin Ibrahim, Syafiq Aiman Bin Abdullah and Haikal Ikmal Bin Rahimee for assisting me during lab.

Finally, I would like to express my very profound gratitude to my parents for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you

ABSTRAK

Pelupusan sisa pepejal dari produk sampingan sisa adalah salah satu isu alam sekitar yang utama pada masa kini seperti pelupusan tayar. Penggunaan tayar kitar semula sebagai pengganti agregat dalam konkrit biasa dan konkrit kekuatan tinggi telah diterokai. Kini, UHPC telah menjadi terkenal kerana kekuatan mampatan tinggi yang boleh mencapai lebih daripada 100 MPa. UHPC dihasilkan dengan menggunakan nisbah simen air rendah dan menggantikan simen Portland biasa (OPC) dengan 10% silika asap yang bertambah baik dengan ketara kebolehkeraan, kekuatan dan ketahanannya. Dalam kajian ini, satu idea untuk menggantikan jumlah agregat dengan sisa serbuk getah (WCT) dalam campuran UHPC telah dikaji. WCT diganti secara berterusan pada 5% daripada jumlah berat agregat. Zarah WCT tertakluk kepada proses pra-rawatan dengan merendam zarah WCT dalam larutan NaOH selama 20, 40 dan 60 minit. Dua (2) jenis ujian iaitu ujian ketahanan dan penembusan klorida telah dijalankan. Untuk ujian ketahanan, sampel UHPC telah direndam dalam larutan 3% NaCl selama 7, 14 dan 28 hari dan spesimen yang terdedah telah diuji dari segi kekuatan dan penurunan jisimnya. Kedalaman penembusan klorida UHPC yang terdedah juga dinilai. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa kekuatan mampatan untuk keseluruhan getah-UHPC jauh lebih rendah dalam kekuatan berbanding dengan UHPC biasa. Kedalaman penembusan klorida untuk getah-UHPC adalah nilai yang lebih tinggi berbanding dengan UHPC biasa. Dalam getah-UHPC, UHPC-20 mempunyai nilai yang lebih tinggi untuk kedalaman penembusan klorida daripada UHPC-40 dan UHPC-60. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa UHPC-60 meningkatkan penembusan tahan klorida daripada UHPC-20 dan UHPC-40.

ABSTRACT

Solid waste disposal from waste by-products are one of the major environmental issue nowadays such as tires disposal. The utilization of recycled tyre as aggregate replacement in normal concrete and high strength concrete has been explored. Nowadays, UHPC has become famous due to high compressive strength that can achieved more than 100 MPa. UHPC was produced by using low water cement ratio and replacing the ordinary Portland cement (OPC) with 10% silica fume improved significantly its workability, strength and durability. In this study, an idea to replace the amount of aggregate with waste crumb tyre (WCT) in UHPC mixture was investigated. The WCT was replaced constantly at 5% from the total weight of aggregate. The WCT particle was subjected to pre-treatment process by immersing the WCT particles in NaOH solution for 20, 40 and 60 minutes. Two (2) type of testings namely durability test and chloride penetration were conducted. For durability test, the UHPC samples were immersed in 3% NaCl solution for 7, 14 and 28 days and the exposed specimens were tested in terms of its strength and weight loss. The chloride penetration depth of exposed UHPC was also evaluated. The results showed that the compressive strength for entire rubberized-UHPC significantly lowest in strength as compared to plain UHPC. The chloride penetration depth for rubberized-UHPC was higher value as compared to plain UHPC. In the rubberized-UHPC, UHPC-20 has higher value for chloride penetration depth than UHPC-40 and UHPC-60. The results revealed that UHPC-60 enhanced the chloride resistant penetration than UHPC-20 and UHPC-40.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS **ii**

ABSTRAK **iii**

ABSTRACT **iv**

TABLE OF CONTENT **v**

LIST OF TABLES **ix**

LIST OF FIGURES **xi**

LIST OF SYMBOLS **xiv**

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS **xv**

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION **1**

1.1 Background of Study 1

1.2 Problem Statement 2

1.3 Objective of Study 3

1.4 Scope of Study 3

1.5 Significant of Study 5

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW **6**

2.1 Introduction 6

2.2 Definition of UHPC 6

2.3 Comparison between High Performance Concrete and Ultra High Performance Concrete 7

2.4	Historical of UHPC	7
2.5	Applications on UHPC	8
2.6	Advantage of UHPC	9
2.6.1	Compressive Strength of UHPC	10
2.6.2	Durability	11
2.6.3	Workability	12
2.6.4	Effect of Curing in UHPC	12
2.7	Mix Proportion	12
2.7.1	Silica Fume	12
2.7.2	Waste Tyre	14
2.7.3	Surface Treatment of Waste Crumb Tyre	15
2.7.4	Superplasticizer	16
2.8	Chlorination	17
2.8.1	Chloride Penetration	17
2.8.2	Chloride Penetration Depth Profile	18
2.8.3	Visual observation	19
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		21
3.1	Introduction	21
3.2	Experimental Program	21
3.3	Material Selection	23
3.3.1	Cement	23
3.3.2	Sand	24
3.3.3	Course aggregate	26
3.3.4	Water	27
3.3.5	Silica fume (SF)	27

3.3.6	Superplasticizer (SP)	28
3.3.7	Waste Crumb Tyre (WCT)	29
3.3.8	Pre treatment	30
3.3.9	Sodium Chloride, NaCl	30
3.4	Preparation of specimens	31
3.4.1	Mix Proportion	31
3.4.2	Number of specimen	32
3.4.3	Size of Specimen	33
3.4.4	Cube mould	34
3.4.5	Cylinder mould	35
3.4.6	Batching, Mixing and Casting	35
3.4.7	Curing	36
3.5	Testing method	36
3.5.1	Colorimetric method	36
3.5.2	Compressive method	38
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		40
4.1	Introduction	40
4.2	Waste Crumb Tyre Properties	40
4.3	Mechanical Properties of Rubberized-UHPC	42
4.3.1	Effect of Curing Age on Compressive Strength of Rubberized-UHPC	42
4.3.2	Effect of Treated-WCT as Aggregate Replacement on Compressive Strength of Rubberized-UHPC	47
4.3.3	Effect of Curing Age on Tensile Strength of Rubberized-UHPC	48
4.3.4	Effect of Treated-WCT as Aggregate Replacement on Tensile Strength of Rubberized-UHPC	53
4.4	Durability of Rubberized-UHPC Exposed in 3% NaCl Solution	54

4.4.1	Strength Loss of Exposed Rubberized-UHPC	54
4.4.2	Weight Loss of Exposed Rubberized-UHPC	66
4.5	Chloride Penetration Characteristics of Rubberized-UHPC	67
4.5.1	Chloride Penetration Depth of Rubberized-UHPC	67
4.5.2	Chloride Profile of Rubberized-UHPC	74
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION		78
5.1	Introduction	78
5.2	Conclusion	78
5.3	Recommendation	78
REFERENCES		80

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Comparison of UHPC Material Properties to Other Concrete Classifications	10
Table 2.2	Comparison of UHPC Material Properties to Other Concrete Classifications	11
Table 2.3	Results of Rubber Crumb Sieve Test	14
Table 2.4	Compressive Strength of Concrete with Different Percentage of WCT at Different Curing Age	15
Table 3.1	Mix proportion of rubberized-UHPC	32
Table 3.2	Compression Test Specimens for Cubic Curing Age Duration 7 Days	32
Table 3.3	Durability Test Specimens for Cubic and Cylinder Chloride Attacks	33
Table 4.1	Compressive Strength of Plain UHPC at Different age of Curing	43
Table 4.2	Compressive Strength of Rubberized-UHPC (UHPC-20)	44
Table 4.3	Compressive Strength of Rubberized-UHPC (UHPC-40)	45
Table 4.4	Compressive Strength of Rubberized-UHPC (UHPC-60)	46
Table 4.5	Compressive Strength of Plain UHPC and a Series of Rubberized-UHPC for 7, 14 And 28 Days Cured In Water	47
Table 4.6	Tensile Strength of Plain UHPC	49
Table 4.7	Tensile Strength of Rubberized-UHPC (UHPC-20)	50
Table 4.8	Tensile Strength of Rubberized-UHPC (UHPC-40)	51
Table 4.9	Tensile Strength of Rubberized-UHPC (UHPC-60)	52
Table 4.10	Tensile Strength of Plain UHPC, UHPC-20, UHPC-40 and UHPC-60	53
Table 4.11	Compressive Strength of Plain UHPC on Exposed in 3% NaCl solution	55
Table 4.12	Compressive Strength of UHPC-20 on Exposed in 3% NaCl solution	55
Table 4.13	Compressive Strength of UHPC-40 on Exposed in 3% NaCl solution	57
Table 4.14	Compressive Strength of UHPC-60 on Exposed in 3% NaCl solution	58
Table 4.15	Compressive Strength of Plain UHPC and a Series of Rubberized-UHPC for 7, 14 And 28 Days Exposed in 3% NaCl Solution	59
Table 4.16	Tensile Strength of Plain UHPC on Exposed in 3% NaCl solution	60
Table 4.17	Tensile Strength of UHPC-20 on Exposed in 3% NaCl solution	61

Table 4.18	Tensile Strength of UHPC-40 on Exposed in 3% NaCl solution	62
Table 4.19	Tensile Strength of UHPC-60 on Exposed in 3% NaCl solution	63
Table 4.20	Tensile Strength of UHPC-0, UHPC-20, UHPC-40 and UHPC-60 Exposed on 3% NaCl Solution	64
Table 4.21	Result Weight Loss of the 36 Cube	66
Table 4.22	Chloride Penetration Depth of Plain UHPC on Exposed in 3% NaCl Solution	67
Table 4.23	Chloride Penetration Depth of UHPC-20 on Exposed in 3% NaCl Solution	69
Table 4.24	Chloride Penetration Depth of UHPC-40 on Exposed in 3% NaCl Solution	70
Table 4.25	Chloride Penetration Depth of UHPC-60 on Exposed in 3% NaCl Solution	71
Table 4.26	Chloride Penetration Depth of Plain UHPC, UHPC-20, UHPC-40 and UHPC-60 on Exposed in 3% NaCl Solution	72

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Compressive Strength f UHPC vs. % Silica Fume. (By Weight of Binder), W/B Ratio = 0.15, 28 Days, at 20°C and 90°C Curing	13
Figure 2.2	The Compressive Strength of Concrete with No Treatment and With Treatment Versus Curing Age	16
Figure 2.3	Relationship between Compressive Strength and Percentage Expose Of Nacl to UHPC	18
Figure 2.4	Chloride Penetration Depth in NPC, HPC, UHPC And Nano Metaclayed-UHPC Concretes	19
Figure 2.5	Evaluation of the Chloride Combination	20
Figure 3.1	The Process Flow in This Study	22
Figure 3.2	Ordinary Portland Cement	23
Figure 3.3	Sand	25
Figure 3.4	Aggregate	26
Figure 3.5	Silica Fume	28
Figure 3.6	Superplasticizer	29
Figure 3.7	Waste Crumb Tyre	30
Figure 3.8	Sodium Chloride	31
Figure 3.9	Dimension of cubic specimen	33
Figure 3.10	Dimension of cylinder specimen	34
Figure 3.11	Cube Specimens	35
Figure 3.12	Cylinder Specimens	35
Figure 3.13	Split Tensile Strength	37
Figure 3.14	Spray Silver Nitrate (AgNO ₃)	38
Figure 3.15	Measuring Depth of Chloride	38
Figure 3.16	Compressive Strength Test	39
Figure 4.1	Appearance of Waste Crumb Tyre Particles before Treatment Subjected to Pre-Treatment Process.	41
Figure 4.2	Appearance of Waste Crumb Tyre Particles After subjected to Pre-Treatment Process	42
Figure 4.3	Compressive Strength of Plain UHPC Cured in Water for 7, 14 and 28 Days	43
Figure 4.4	Compressive Strength of UHPC-20 Cured in Water for 7, 14 and 28 Days	44
Figure 4.5	Compressive Strength of UHPC-40 Cured in Water for 7, 14 and 28 Days.	45

Figure 4.6	Compressive Strength of UHPC-60 Cured in Water for 7, 14 and 28 Days.	46
Figure 4.7	Compressive Strength of Plain UHPC and a Series of Rubberized-UHPC for 7, 14 And 28 Days Cured In Water.	48
Figure 4.8	Tensile Strength of Plain UHPC Cured in Water for 7, 14 and 28 Days.	49
Figure 4.9	Tensile Strength UHPC-20 on Curing Water for 7, 14 and 28 Days.	50
Figure 4.10	Tensile Strength UHPC-40 on Curing Water for 7, 14 and 28 Days.	51
Figure 4.11	Tensile Strength UHPC-60 on Curing Water for 7, 14 and 28 Days.	52
Figure 4.12	Tensile Strength Plain UHPC, UHPC-20, UHPC-40 and UHPC-60 on Curing Water for 7, 14 and 28 Days	53
Figure 4.13	Compressive Strength of Plain UHPC Exposed in 3% NaCl Solution for 7, 14 and 28 Days	55
Figure 4.14	Compressive Strength of UHPC-20 Exposed in 3% NaCl Solution for 7, 14 and 28 Days	56
Figure 4.15	Compressive Strength of UHPC-40 Exposed in 3% NaCl Solution for 7, 14 and 28 Days.	57
Figure 4.16	Compressive Strength of UHPC-60 Exposed in 3% NaCl Solution for 7, 14 and 28 Days	58
Figure 4.17	Compressive Strength of Plain UHPC, UHPC-20, UHPC-40 and UHPC-60 with Respect to Three Duration of Immersion 7, 14 and 28 Days on 3 % NaCl Solution	59
Figure 4.18	Tensile Strength of Plain UHPC with Respect to Three Duration of Immersion 7, 14 and 28 Days on 3% Nacl Solution	61
Figure 4.19	Tensile Strength of UHPC-20 with Respect to Three Duration of Immersion 7, 14 and 28 Days on 3% Nacl Solution	62
Figure 4.20	Tensile Strength of UHPC-40 with Respect to Three Duration of Immersion 7, 14 and 28 Days on 3% Nacl Solution	63
Figure 4.21	Tensile Strength of UHPC-60 with Respect to Three Duration of Immersion 7, 14 and 28 Days on 3% Nacl Solution	64
Figure 4.22	Tensile Strength of Plain UHPC, UHPC-20, UHPC-40 and UHPC-60 with Respect to Three Duration of Immersion 7, 14 and 28 Days on 3% NaCl Solution.	65
Figure 4.23	Weight Loss of UHPC and Rubberized-UHPC	66
Figure 4.24	Result of Chloride Penetration Depth for Plain UHPC Respect to Three Duration of Immersion 7, 14 and 28 Days on 3% NaCl Solution	68

Figure 4.25	Result of Chloride Penetration Depth for UHPC-20 Respect to Three Duration of Immersion 7, 14 and 28 Days on 3% NaCl Solution	69
Figure 4.26	Result of Chloride Penetration Depth for UHPC-40 Respect to Three Duration of Immersion 7, 14 and 28 Days on 3% NaCl Solution	70
Figure 4.27	Result of Chloride Penetration Depth for UHPC-60 Respect to Three Duration of Immersion 7, 14 and 28 Days on 3% NaCl Solution	71
Figure 4.28	Result of Chloride Penetration Depth for Plain UHPC, UHPC-20, UHPC-40 and UHPC-60 Respect to Three Duration of Immersion 7, 14 and 28 Days on 3% NaCl Solution	73
Figure 4.29	Visual Appearance of the Splitting Surface Cylinder after AgNO ₃ Spray Method for Plain UHPC at 28 days	74
Figure 4.30	Visual Appearance of the Splitting Surface Cylinder after AgNO ₃ Spray Method for UHPC-20 at 28 days	75
Figure 4.31	Visual Appearance of the Splitting Surface Cylinder after AgNO ₃ Spray Method for UHPC-40 at 28 days	76
Figure 4.32	Visual Appearance of the Splitting Surface Cylinder after AgNO ₃ Spray Method for UHPC-60 at 28 days	77

LIST OF SYMBOLS

%	Percentage
m ³	Meter cubic
mm	Milimeter
MPa	MegaPascal

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AgNO ₃	Silver Nitrate
HPC	High Performace Concrete
NaCl	Sodium Chloride
NaOH	Sodium Hydroxide
UHPC	Ultra-High performance Concrete
WCT	Waste Crumb Tyre

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Ultra High Performance Concrete or known as UHPC is a cementitious composite materials composed of an optimized gradation of granular constituents and water-to-cementitious materials ratio less than 0.25. The compressive strength and tensile strength of UHPC was greater than 150 MPa and the value tensile strength was greater than 5 MPa, respectively (Graybeal, 2011). UHPC is one of the latest advances in concrete technology and it addresses the shortcomings of many concretes today. The discontinuous pore structure in UHPC can reduces the liquid ingress and also increasing the durability of UHPC when compare to those of conventional concrete and high performance concrete (Graybeal, 2011).

As well known, UHPC was produced by using quartz as aggregate, therefore it become very expensive. To reduce the cost of production, the quartz can be replaced by using alternative material from waste products. In this study, the present of waste crumb tyre as aggregate replacement will be introduced. Nowadays, a millions of rubber tubes or rubber tires have been produced for human consumption every year. This excludes waste tyre that can only cause mosquito reproduction and also cause increased earth temperatures due to widespread burning of waste tyre. This causes a very high environmental pollution. In order to reduce pollution problem, the use of waste crumb tires (WCT) is one of the alternative to replace the aggregate in the UHPC.

However, previous researcher found that the bonding or the strength between the rubber particles with the cement matrix in concrete were weak (Ankit, 2016). To overcome the problem on bonding between the rubber particles with the cement matrix about to increase the strength of rubberized-UHPC there is the method that the past

researchers were done. Several researchers, Ankit, (2016) and Sofi, (2016) found that the process of surface treatment by using chemical solution has been suggested. The chemical solution used for the surface treatment of WCT is sodium hydroxide (NaOH). NaOH solution is a great chemical in cleaning agent to take out the dirt and cleans the surface of rubber. Thus improves the bonding between the waste crumb tyres with cement matrix of concrete.

The modification of UHPC containing WCT needs future investigation on its durability such as chloride resistance penetration. The UHPC specimens were immersed in sodium chloride (NaCl) solution to investigate the durability of rubberized-UHPC. In this present study, the tests conducted are compressive strength and chloride penetration.

1.2 Problem Statement

Traditionally, to produce the ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC), the materials that used are Portland cement, silica fume, fine sand, ground quartz, water and superplasticizer. However, the quartz is very expensive because it is natural resources and it became limited sources in Malaysia. Therefore, to reduce the cost of the UHPC, the quartz should be replaced with other waste materials. In this study the waste material used is waste crumb tyre. In Malaysia, it is estimated that of 57,391 to 8.2 million tons production of waste tires every year where, more than 50% amount of waste tires were disposed wrongly (Thiruvangodan and Sandra, 2006). Due to increases of the waste tyres, the price of the waste tyres become cheap and easy to obtained. Several researchers were used the waste tyres as aggregate replacement material in the normal concrete (Michelle, 2006; Ankit, 2016). In Malaysia, there are many of the waste tyres were dump at the landfill of Madang, Kayu, Telipok, Sabah. The expanse of this landfill was about 0.5 hectares and it can support all the waste tyre in Telipok. However, this placed was destroyed by fired and resulting air pollution due to this incident in January 2017. Therefore, this is the opportunity to researcher to make the innovation to use the WCT as aggregate replacement in concrete.

However, Ankit (2016) has been observed that the bonding between the rubber particles in cement matix was very weak. To improve the bonding between the rubber particles with the cement matrix, the surface treatment of rubber particles should be treated. NaOH solution is perfect as cleaning agent to remove the dirt and also clear the

surfaces. There are different in duration of immersion WCT into NaOH solution from the previous research. Therefore, the further investigation on the duration of immersion were be carried out. All the rubberized-UHPC specimens will be exposed into chloride to investigate the durability performance. Effect on different duration of WCT surface treatment subjected to chloride penetration was main concern in this study.

1.3 Objective of Study

- i. To determine the effect of using different pre-treatment duration on surface of waste crumb tyre particles as aggregate replacement in UHPC.
- ii. To determine the compressive strength of rubberized-UHPC subsequently immersed in sodium chloride (NaCl) solution.
- iii. To examine the chloride penetration profiles on exposed rubberized–UHPC immersed in NaCl solution.

1.4 Scope of Study

The present study focused on the determinants of strength loss and chloride resistance penetration of rubberized-UHPC incorporated waste crumb tyre. In order to produce rubberized-UHPC the aggregate in UHPC was replaced with the waste crumb tyre (WCT). To achieve the objectives, this study was carried five (5) stages as outlined in the following sequences.

Stage 1: Pre-Treatment on Surface of Waste Crumb Tyre Particles

In order to use WCT as an aggregate replacement in UHPC production, the raw particles of WCT underwent to the pre-treatment process. Before the treatment process, the WCT particles were cleaning using acetone to remove the probable impurities on the WCT surface. Then, WCT was immersed in NaOH solution as pre-treatment. NaOH is a powerful cleansing agent removes the dirt and cleans the surface of rubber which enhances the bonding between the rubber aggregate with the other materials in concrete. There are four (4) different in duration of the immersion 0, 20, 40 and 60 minutes.

REFERENCES

- A.Sofi, 2016. Sustainable Concrete with Waste Tyre Rubber. *Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, pp. 848-850.
- Bravo, M., 2011. Concrete made with used tyre aggregate: durability-related performance. *Cleaner Production*, pp. 43-44.
- Chou, L.-H., 2009. Improving rubber concrete by waste organic sulfur compounds. *Waste Management & Research*, pp. 29-46.
- Dr. Theresa M. Ahlborn, 2008. Ultra-High-Performance-Concrete for Michigan Bridges. *CENTER FOR STRUCTURAL DURABILITY*, pp. 5-14.
- Elchalakani, M., 2016. Mechanical properties of rubberised concrete for. *Australian Journal of Civil Engineering*, pp. 1-12.
- Mohd Faizal Md, Jaafar, 2014. Strength and Chloride Content of Nanoclaved Ultra-High. *PROCEEDING ON STRUCTURES, MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING CONFERENCE*, pp. 99-111.
- Mohd Faizal Md, Jaafar., 2017. Chloride Resistance Behavior on Nano-Metaclayed Ultra-High Performance Concrete. *PROCEEDING ON STRUCTURES, MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING CONFERENCE*, pp. 2-10.
- Fiore, A., 2014. On the Fresh/Hardened Properties of. *Creative Commons Attribution*, pp. 106-143.
- Graybeal, 2006. Material Property Characterization of Ultra-High Performance Concrete. *Federal Highway Administration, McLean, VA*, pp. 13-14.
- Graybeal, B., 2011. Ultra-High Performane Concrete. *Federal Highway Administration, McLean, VA*, pp. 1-8.
- Li, G., 2014. Properties of rubberized concrete modified by using silane coupling. *Cleaner Production*, pp. 67-87.
- Lingjie Wu, 2017. Time-dependent chloride penetration in concrete in marine. *Construction and Building Materials*, pp. 89-109.

McDonagh, M. D., 2016. Benefits of Ultra-High Performance Concrete for the Rehabilitation of the Pulaski Skyway. *First International Interactive Symposium on UHPC*, pp. 2-5.

Mohd Faizal Md, Jaafar., 2017. Chloride Resistance Behavior on Nano-Metaclayed Ultra-High Performance Concrete. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, pp. 1-10.

Pyo, S., 2017. Effect of chloride content on mechanical properties of ultra high. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, pp. 176-189.

Real, L. V., 2015. AgNO₃ spray method for measurement of chloride penetration: the state of art. *Latin-American Association of Quality Control, Pathology and Recovery of Construction*, pp. 141-145.

Richardson, A., 2010. FREEZE/THAW PERFORMANCE OF CONCRETE USING GRANULATED RUBBER CRUMB. *Green Building*, pp. 84-98.

Richardson, A. E., 2011. Freeze/thaw protection of concrete with optimum rubber crumb content. *Cleaner Production*, pp. 76-90.

S.Selvakumar, 2015. Strength Properties of Concrete Using. *Innovative Research in Science*, pp. 1171-1190.

Sethi, A., 2016. Development of Rubberised Concrete Using Waste Rubber Tyre as Coarse Aggregate. *Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, pp. 71-75.

Thomas, B. S., 2014. Long term behaviour of cement concrete containing discarded tire. *Cleaner Production*, pp. 80-88.

Tuan, N. V., 2010. Ultra High Performance Concrete Using Waste Materials. *High-rise buildings and Underground structures*, pp. 14-16.

VERMA, S. K., 2013. Evaluating effect of chloride attack and concrete cover on. *Higher Education Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg*, pp. 111-123.

Yilmaz, A., 2008. Possibility of using waste tire rubber and fly ash with Portland cement as. *Waste Management*, pp. 67-79.